UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
District of New Mexico

TT :: 10:		
United States of America)	
v.)	
Crystal Kelly) Case No.	23-MJ-174
Defendant)	

AMENDED ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
- ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

n
ct
ıs
ses
21);
g rise
1 3

O 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial				
ு B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:				
☑ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);				
☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; ☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;				
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or				
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.				
☑ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above				
☑ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is				

ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)

OR

☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.

Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

- M By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
- M By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

√	Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
	Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
⊘ I	Prior criminal history
	Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
√ 1	History of violence or use of weapons
∑ I	History of alcohol or substance abuse
₹Í	Lack of stable employment
∑ I	Lack of stable residence
	Lack of financially responsible sureties

Case 1:23-mj-00174-KBM Document 19 Filed 02/14/23 Page 3 of 3

☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
✓ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
☑ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Defendant is subject to a five year minimum mandatory sentence if convicted. Although not necessarily "lengthy," the minimum mandatory sentence increases the risk that defendant will not appear at future proceedings. In addition, when defendant was arrested, she was found with her boyfriend in an abandoned house that was next door to her boyfriend's mother's house. Law enforcement officers found two dozen firearms inside the house, which included silencers, short-barrelled shotguns, and guns with obliterated serial numbers. One gun was found on the floor next to the front door, and another was found on a shelf next to the front door. Law enforcement officers also found \$232,000 in cash in a vehicle parked on the property where defendant was found. The vehicle was registered to the girlfriend of defendant's boyfriend's brother. Law enforcement officers also found a gallon-sized plastic bag in a toilet that had brown and blue water in it. Law enforcement officers did not collect the water, but the Court reasonably infers that defendant and her boyfriend were attempting to flush fentanyl pills down the toilet before they were arrested. A few days before their arrest, defendant and her boyfriend had sold 2000 fentanyl pills to a confidential informant for \$4000. When they sold the fentanyl, they told the confidential informant that he/she could buy future "boats" (1000-pill quantities) for \$1500 apiece. The Court therefore reasonably can infer that defendant had access to more fentanyl. Law enforcement officers also searched a storage unit that defendant rented. Inside the storage unit, law enforcement officers found a loaded firearm and two ballistic vests.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	02/14/2023	Laura Mas
		United States Magistrate Judge